

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NOS. C-100818,
		C-100819
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	TRIAL NOS. B-0000209,
		B-0000465
vs.	:	
		<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
ALTONE SHAHEED,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. See S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A); App.R. 11.1(E); Loc.R. 11.1.1.

In May 2000, a jury convicted defendant-appellant Altone Shaheed of carrying a concealed weapon under the case numbered B-0000209 and aggravated murder, aggravated robbery and two gun specifications under the case numbered B-0000465. The trial court imposed prison terms for each offense. This court affirmed the convictions and sentences under each case number in 2001. In December 2010, Shaheed was brought back before the trial court to be advised of post-release control under each case number. The trial court's sentencing entry re-imposed the same sentences and advised Shaheed that he would be subject to post-release control for carrying a concealed weapon and aggravated robbery as well as parole for aggravated murder. This appeal followed.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, Shaheed's appointed counsel now advises this court that, after a thorough review of the record, she has found nothing that would arguably support Shaheed's appeal. Counsel, as required by *Anders*, has communicated her conclusion to Shaheed.

Appellate counsel has moved this court for permission to withdraw as counsel. Under *Anders*, this court is now charged with the task of independently reviewing the record for any prejudicial error that would warrant the reversal of the trial court's judgment. *Id.*

We have thoroughly reviewed the record, and we concur in counsel's conclusions that the proceedings below were free of error prejudicial to Shaheed. We, therefore, overrule counsel's motion to withdraw from her representation of Shaheed and affirm the trial court's judgment.

Because we have determined that the proceedings below were free of prejudicial error, we conclude that there are no reasonable grounds for this appeal. But as Shaheed is indigent, we allow no penalty.

A certified copy of this judgment entry is the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27.

HILDEBRANDT, P.J., SUNDERMANN and HENDON, JJ.

To the Clerk:

Enter upon the Journal of the Court on October 28, 2011

per order of the Court _____.
Presiding Judge